

**Summary of Andriamilamina (No. 3) v. IFC (Preliminary Objection), Decision No. 620
[2019]**

The Applicant challenged the Tribunal’s dismissal of her prior application in *EQ (Merits)*, Decision No. 595 [2018]. She sought revision of the Tribunal’s judgment in *EQ (Merits)* under Article XIII of the Tribunal’s Statute. Specifically, she asserted that she discovered “new facts” relating to a potential conflict of interest that would have influenced the Tribunal’s judgment in *EQ (Merits)* with regard to her challenge of the reassignment of Client A from her work program.

The International Finance Corporation (IFC) raised a preliminary objection to the admissibility of the Application. The IFC contended that the Applicant had not fulfilled the requirements for a request for revision under Article XIII of the Tribunal’s Statute. The IFC claimed that the Applicant did not put forward any evidence to demonstrate that her allegations were true or that the existence of a potential conflict of interest would have influenced the Tribunal’s judgment in *EQ (Merits)*. Moreover, the IFC contended that some of the “facts” and matters alleged by the Applicant were known to her before the Tribunal’s judgment in *EQ (Merits)* and therefore could not constitute “new” facts.

The Tribunal examined Article XIII of the Tribunal’s Statute, as well as the Applicant’s assertions. The Tribunal found that the Applicant had not proffered evidence proving that the information she claimed to have discovered had any relevance to the reassignment of Client A from her work program. The Tribunal also found that the Applicant’s suspicion of a conflict of interest did not substantiate the “new facts” she would have needed to provide in order to meet the requirements of Article XIII of the Tribunal’s Statute, and that the “facts” she alleged would not have had a decisive and material impact on its judgment in *EQ (Merits)*.

Decision: The Application was dismissed.